

അപേക്ഷകൻ കുറച്ച് കണക്കുകൾ നൽകിയശേഷം അതിന്റെ ഉത്തരം തയ്യാറാക്കി നൽകാൻ പബ്ലിക് ഇൻഫർമേഷൻ ഓഫീസറോട് ആവശ്യപ്പെട്ടാൽ അതിന്റെ ഉത്തരം തയ്യാറാക്കി നൽകുക എന്നുള്ളത് വിവരാവകാശ നിയമത്തിന്റെ പരിധിയിൽ വരുന്ന കാര്യമല്ല.

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
Club Building (Near Post Office)
Old JNU Campus, New Delhi - 110067
Tel: +91-11-26161796

Decision No. CIC/DS/A/2011/000998/SG/17105
Appeal No. CIC/DS/A/2011/000998/SG

Relevant Facts emerging from the Appeal

Appellant	:	Mr. Prabhat Kr. Saxena Sriyam Sadan, Jawahar Puri, Badoun - 243001.
Respondent	:	Public Information Officer Department of Atomic Energy, Oo The Institutes of Mathematical Sciences, CIT Campus, Tharamani, Chennai - 600113.
RTI application filed on	:	16/09/2009
PIO replied	:	16/09/2009
First appeal filed on	:	18/05/2010
First Appellate Authority order	:	16/04/2010
Second Appeal received on	:	20/09/2010

Information Sought:

- The information required is, please give the solution of three mathematical equation as given below:
 (a) Fifty percent of 15600 plus 6600 is equal to
 (b) 50% of Rs. 15600 + Rs. 6600 = Rs.
 (c) 50% of Rs. 37400 + Rs. 10000 = Rs.
- Please also intimate about appellate authority name, designation, office address and Telephone Number. (Under RTI Act 2005)

Reply of the Public Information Officer (PIO):

- (a) Fifty percent of 15600 plus 6600 Rs. 11,100/-
 (b) 50% of Rs. 15600 + Rs. 6600 = Rs. 11,100/-
 (c) 50% of Rs. 37,400 + Rs. 10000 = Rs. 23,700/-
- The particulars of Appellate Authority have been given.

Grounds for the First Appeal:

Unsatisfactory reply was provided to the Appellant by the PIO.

Order of the First Appellate Authority (FAA):

The FAA stated that - "It is inferred that the variation as per the operation of BODMAS in solution (a) above occurred earlier is because of the ambiguity in the equation concerned."

Grounds for the Second Appeal:

Unsatisfactory information provided by the PIO.

Relevant Facts emerging during Hearing:

The following were present:

Appellant: Mr. Prabhat Kr. Saxena through video conferencing at NIC Badoun

Respondent: Absent

The Appellant has not sought any information as defined under section 2(f) of the RTI Act. The Appellant has used RTI to test the knowledge in mathematics of the PIO which is not information as per the RTI Act. Though the reply provided by the PIO is not covered under Right to Information, the Commission notices that the answers do not appear to be mathematically correct.

Decision:

The Appeal is disposed.

No information has been sought by the Appellant as per the RTI Act.

This decision is announced in open chamber.

Notice of this decision be given free of cost to the parties.

Any information in compliance with this Order will be provided free of cost as per Section 7(f) of RTI Act.