

വിവരങ്ങൾ ഏതു ഓഫീസിൽ ആണ് ഉള്ളതെന്ന് പബ്ലിക് ഇൻഫർമേഷൻ ഓഫീസ്സർക്ക് അറിയാമെങ്കിൽ മാത്രമേ വകുപ്പ് 6(3) പ്രകാരം അപേക്ഷ ആ ഓഫീസിലേക്ക് അയച്ചുകൊടുക്കുവാൻ നിർവാഹമുള്ളൂ

3. The Commission had a detailed and meticulous examination of the appeal petition, the report of the second respondent and all other documents produced before the Commission. The Commission found that the information available in the office of the first respondent was given to the appellant. In the absence of knowledge about the office in which the wills might have been registered, the first respondent was not under an obligation to transfer the request to all the Sub Registrars under him. If the details of the Sub-Registrar with whom the wills were/might have been registered was known to the first respondent, the first respondent was bound to transfer the request to the concerned Sub-Registrar. The first respondent is not expected to contact all the Sub-Registrars under him and find out whether any will was registered with them. The Commission find that the action of the first respondent in informing the appellant that wills were registered in Sub-Registrar Offices was the right decision under the RTI Act, 2005. The Commission decide that no further action is warranted on the appeal petition.

The Appeal is dismissed.

Dated this the 30th day of May 2007.

Sd/-

**Palat Mohandas**

**Chief Information Commissioner**

Sd/-

**V.V.Giry**

**Information Commissioner**