

അപേക്ഷകന്റെ ഒരു വ്യാജമാണോ അല്ലയോ എന്ന് അന്വേഷിക്കേണ്ട ബാധ്യത പബ്ലിക് ഇൻഫർമേഷൻ ഓഫീസർക്കില്ല. വിവരാവകാശ നിയമ പ്രകാരം അയക്കുന്ന മറുപടി യഥാർത്ഥ വിലാസക്കാരന് നൽകേണ്ട ബാധ്യത പോസ്റ്റൽ ഡിപ്പാർട്ട്മെന്റിനാണ്

5. Further the SPIO argued that the signature of the complainant is fabricated and enquiry should be conducted in this matter. The SPIO also pointed out that he has not mentioned the purpose for the information. Section 6(2) of the RTI Act 2005 reads as under:

" An applicant making request for information shall not be required to give any reason for requesting the information or any other personal details except those that may be necessary for contacting him. " So the duty of the SPIO is to provide the information sought by the applicant. The SPIO is not bound to know the signature of the complainant or whether it is legal or illegal. Commission therefore finds that it is not necessary to go into all the supporting documents proposed by the SPIO in this case as it is not require to examine the arguments of animosity against the SPIO, or alleged malafide against him. Commission therefore directs the SPIO to provide all the informations held by him to the complainant within 10 days of receipt of this order.