

ഏതൊരു വ്യക്തിക്കും വിവരാവകാശ അപേക്ഷ സമർപ്പിക്കാൻ അവകാശമുള്ള സാഹചര്യത്തിൽ ഒരു വ്യക്തിക്ക് വേണ്ടി മറ്റൊരാൾ അപേക്ഷ സമർപ്പിക്കേണ്ടതില്ല എന്ന് വിവരാവകാശ കമ്മീഷൻ

Decision:

4. Since there is no denial of information, the appellant was advised to seek inspection of the relevant records so that the required information could be furnished to her. The appellant and the PIO may mutually decide a convenient date and time for inspection of the relevant documents, which should be furnished within 15 days from the date of receipt of fresh application from the appellant.

5. The appellant and her husband, who was also present during the hearing have lied before the Commission in the matter of payments of salary. The appellant said that her husband's salary was not paid regularly and therefore she suffered. The fact was verified and it was noted that she made a false statement and, thus tried to misled the Commission.

6. As the Act empowers every citizen to seek information, it is not understandable why the appellant had chosen to seek information on behalf of her husband.

The PIO is directed to obtain concurrence of the concerned employee before any information relating to him is proposed to be disclosed.

7. In the instant case, information has been asked for promotion of personal interest, as the affected person has not come forward to know the details of service conditions, which might be affecting him.

8. The complaint is accordingly disposed of.

Sd/-

(Prof. M.M. Ansari)
Information Commissioner