Lalith Babu

വ്യക്തിയുടെ ലോൺ സംബന്ധിച്ച വിവരങ്ങൾ ബന്ധപ്പെട്ട സ്ഥാപനത്തിൽ നിന്നും വിവരാവകാശ നിയമപ്രകാരം ലഭിക്കുകയില്ല

6. The Commission observed that the information requested by the petitioner was not provided to him by the SPIO. The SPIO denied the information as the information was related to the third party and also the information was regarding the loan taken by a third party from the bank. There is fiduciary relationship between the third party and bank. Hence the information falls under section 8(1)(d) of the RTI Act.

7. The Commission accepts the view expressed by the SPIO. The information requested was related to the third party information and the SPIO had followed the procedure-involved u/s 11(1) of the RTI Act. She had issued a notice to the third party within five days and obtained a reply from the third party. Moreover the information requested does fall u/s 8(1)(d) of the RTI Act. Therefore the same need not be disclosed. But the SPIO could have provided a reply within 30 days of receipt of the RTI application stating the reason for denial of disclosure of information. Hence the Commission caution the SPIO to be more careful in future.

The complaint petition is disposed off, accordingly.

Dated this, the 19th day of January, 2011.